JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 1 of 19 1574 The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is requiredefor the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	icket sneet. (SEE INSTRUCTI	UNS ON NEXT FAGE OF	· IIIIS FOI	UVI.)			。	图 图	07 8	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Freddie Robinson				DEFENDA! City of Philade Piers	NTS Iphia;	Philade	Iphia Police De	partment; Po	olice De	sctive
	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS.	iladelphia			ND CON RACT C	(IN U.S. F	ted Defendant F PLAINTH F CASES OF ION CASES, USE TH NVOLVED.			<i>/</i>
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Villari, Lentz & Lynam, LL 1600 Market Street, Suite Philadelphia, PA 19103	.c			Attorneys (If Kr	nown)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in One	Box Only)				INCIP	AL PARTIES	Place an "X" in and One Box fo		
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government No.	ot a Party)		For Diversity Cases (PTI		Incorporated or Pri	ncipal Place	PTF 4	DEF
U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	0	2 🗇 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		o 5	D 5
				n or Subject of a eign Country	0	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		6	<u> </u>
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			- 1 D O		(my: -1	catale na	NECKLEROV	I control	COT A TOTAL TOTAL	- 0 atr
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Yoting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 0 448 Education		7 62 69 69 69 69 69 69 69	Drug Related Seizur of Property 21 USC 0 Other LABOR Drair Labor Standard Act Labor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigat Employee Retireme Income Security Act MIGRATION Note: Immigration Actions Other Immigration Actions	is	422 App 423 Wit	CRTY RIGHTS Overline to the control of the control	375 False C	eapportion st and Bankin erree ation eer Influer t Organiza mer Credit Sat TV ites/Comm nge Statutory A Iltural Acts nmental M m of Infor ation istrative P view or A y Decision tutionality	nnent ing need and ations a condities/ Actions s saluters remation
	DN Cite the U.S. Civil Stat 42 U.S.C. §1983 Brief description of cat Excessive Force; 1 CHECK IF THIS 1 UNDER RULE 23	ppellate Court ute under which you ar se: Due Process; Unre S A CLASS ACTION	re filing (I	pened A (i) Do not cite jurisdiction	nother specify) nal statu	zures	6 Multidistr Litigation diversity): CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in	□ No)
IF ANY	(See instructions):	TUDGE				DOCK	ET NUMBER _	11/11/	¥ 6 £	<u> </u>
DATE 03/27/2015 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	,	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY (OF RECORD				J	1.	
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		лл	OGE		MAG. JU	DGE		

Care 25-cv-01574-RB Document 1 Filed 03/27/15 Page 2 of 19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of FOR THE EASTERN DISTRIC assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 2031 S. 6th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19148 Address of Defendant: 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19102 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Philadelphia Police Department Headquarters, 750 Race Street, Philadelphia, PA 19106 (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) NoX Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? Yes□ RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: _ Date Terminated: Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated No⊠ Yes□ 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously No₫ terminated action in this court? 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? $N_0 \boxtimes$ CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. D Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1.

Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. D FELA 2.

Airplane Personal Injury 3. D Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. D Assault, Defamation 4.

Antitrust 4. D Marine Personal Injury 5. Patent 5.

Motor Vehicle Personal Injury Labor-Management Relations 6.

Other Personal Injury (Please specify) Civil Rights 7. D Products Liability Habeas Corpus 8. Products Liability - Asbestos 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 9.

All other Diversity Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11.

All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) _ ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) Thomas A. Lynam, III , counsel of record do hereby certify: X Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of 50,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought-DATE: 03/27/2015 83817 Attorney I.D.# NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court

except as noted above.

DATE: 03/27/2015

Attorney-at-Law

83817 Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

Case 2:15-cv-01574-RB Document 1 Filed 03/27/15 Page 3 of 19

ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION

(Check appropriate Category)

Ι,	I, Thomas A. Lynam, III		counsel of record do hereby certify:				
	X	the damages recov	erable in this civil action case excee	at to the best of my knowledge and belief ed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of			
-	X	interests and costs: Relief other than n	nonetary damages is sought.				
Date:	03/27/2	015		83817			
			Attorney at Law	Attorney I.D. #			

NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

I certify that, to my knowledge, the within care is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

Date: 03/27/2015 83817 Attorney at Law Attorney I.D. #

CIV. 609

Case 2:15-cv-01574-RB Document 1 Filed 03/27/15 Page 4 of 19



Freddie Robinson

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

v.	: :	15 .	157
City of Philadelphia, et al.	: :	NO.	
plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the even designation, that defendant s	e Management Track Designa a copy on all defendants. (Sevent that a defendant does not all, with its first appearance, ies, a Case Management Trace	Reduction Plan of this court, counsation Form in all civil cases at the ties § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the rest agree with the plaintiff regarding submit to the clerk of court and set be Designation Form specifying the ed.	me of everse g said rve on
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	LLOWING CASE MANAC	GEMENT TRACKS:	
) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.			
b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.			
c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.			
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvis exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for personal injury	or property damage from	()
	ases that do not fall into track complex and that need specia de of this form for a detailed	l or intense management by	()
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	(x)
03/27/2015	Thomas A. Lynam, III	Plaintiff	
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	
215-568-1990	215-568-9920	tlynam@vll-law.com	
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	
(Civ. 660) 10/02			





IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

FREDDIE ROBINSON 2031 S. 6th Street Philadelphia, PA 19148

Plaintiff, : CIVIL ACTION

VS

: NO.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA,

PHILADELPHIA POLICE DEPARTMENT

1515 Arch Street, 15th Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

POLICE DETECTIVE PIER\$

Philadelphia Police Department Headquarters

750 Race Street

Philadelphia, PA 19106

:

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Freddie Robinson, by and through his undersigned counsel, Villari, Lentz & Lynam, LLC, says by way of Complaint against Defendants, as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff, Freddie Robinson, is an adult male and citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, with an address at 2031 S. 6th Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19148.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendant, City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia Police Department (hereinafter referred to as the "Police Department"), is a municipality and/or jural entity organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, with a business address at 1515 Arch Street, 15th Floor, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102.

25 given 3/27/2015 RT.

1574

- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Detective Piers (the full and exact name which cannot be ascertained for the reasons set forth below), is an adult male and citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and a Philadelphia Detective with a business address of 750 Race Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106.
- 4. As indicated by the facts alleged below, Plaintiff Robinson was not able to obtain the full name and get assurance that he knew the correct last name of Detective Piers, as Detective Piers' violent and unconstitutional conduct put Plaintiff Robinson in fear of future attacks and retaliation on not just Plaintiff Robinson, but on his family. Because of this, Plaintiff has been unable to identify the exact Detective Division where Defendant Detective Piers is located.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Detective's last name is Piers or a name quite similar in form and/or pronunciation; furthermore, Detective Piers is an African-American male, heavy set, approximately 5'11"-6' tall, and has, or at least had at the time of the incident in question, a large and unique bubble on the back of his head.
- 6. At all times relevant hereto, all Defendants, who are being sued in both their individual and official capacities, acted by and through their actual or apparent employees, servants and/or agents who were in the course and scope of their actual or apparent employment, service and/or agency with all other Defendants.
- 7. At all times relevant hereto, the Police Department was acting under color of state law had the responsibility for managing its detectives, officers, and employees, training, disciplining, and setting official policy for the Police Department, its employees, and Plaintiff,

Freddie Robinson's custodians and apprehenders.

- 8. At all times relevant hereto, all Defendants were acting under color of state law and had the responsibility of observing and protecting the constitutional rights of Plaintiff, Freddie Robinson.
- 9. On or about March 29, 2013, Plaintiff, Freddie Robinson's nephew, Derek Robinson, was shot five times at or around 7th and McKean Streets in Philadelphia.
 - 10. The gunshot to the head took Plaintiff's nephew's life.
- 11. On or around April 1, at approximately 3 p.m., while mourning, distraught, and in grief, Plaintiff, Freddie Robinson ("Plaintiff Robinson"), along with the deceased Derek Robinson's brother, Leon Robinson and Leon's wife (collectively referred as "trio"), went to the Philadelphia Police Department Headquarters, known as the "Roundhouse," to pick up the deceased Derek Robinson's car keys.
- 12. The aforementioned trio walked into the Roundhouse, walked up to the desk/glass booth, presented identification, and told the officer behind the booth their stated purpose to pick up their dead kin's keys.
 - 13. The Officer behind the desk responded that they need to talk to Detective Piers.
- 14. As the trio waited, Detective Piers arrived and shouted out, "Who's the uncle?" and Plaintiff Robinson responded, "Me."
- 15. Detective Piers responded, "OK. I'll see you, y'all can leave," referring that Plaintiff Robinson should stay and Leon Robinson and his wife should go.
 - 16. Puzzled, Leon Robinson and his wife remained by the booth.

- 17. Detective Piers then began to shuttle Leon Robinson and his wife out.
- 18. Unfortunately, as he was scuttling them out the door, Freddie Robinson could not hear Detective Piers' violent language which was, "You don't want what I'm gonna give you if you come back to this building."
- 19. Next, Detective Piers approaches Plaintiff Robinson and repeatedly says, "Get on the fucking elevator before I throw you in here!"
- 20. Trying to be reasonable, Plaintiff Robinson answered, "I don't think you want to do that."
- 21. Well, Detective Piers did do that and grabbed Plaintiff Robinson and threw him in the elevator.
- 22. Knowing that it would not be wise to try to resist a belligerent, violent and crazy Police Detective, Plaintiff Robinson voluntarily spread his legs and put his arms against the elevator wall.
- 23. Then, without any provocation or warning, Detective Piers violently and cheaply rocketed his elbow into the side of Plaintiff Robinson's head.
- 24. The blow Detective Piers intentionally gave to Plaintiff Robinson was in the "Red Zone," an area of the body that prohibits such strikes to be used on civilians.
- 25. Then, in the presence of two other detectives, Detective Piers threw Plaintiff Robinson in an interrogation room with two others being detained.
- 26. Detective Piers then put on his gloves and violently patted down Plaintiff Robinson, getting in cheap shots in the process.

- 27. Plaintiff Robinson was not charged of any crime, yet was detained in the interrogation room for approximately sixteen hours, where he was not allowed to go to the bathroom—despite his pleas to go, and was not provided any food or drink.
- 28. Detective Piers just used the pat down as a means to hurt Plaintiff Robinson, because none of Plaintiff Robinson's possessions were taken.
- 29. Plaintiff Robinson, while in the room, trapped and imprisoned, called his daughter with his cell phone to try to get help.
- 30. This must have tipped off Detective Piers that the outside world may be trying to thwart his unconstitutional detainment of Plaintiff Robinson, thus, Detective Piers came in the interrogation room and took Plaintiff Robinson's phone.
- 31. Later, in a threatening tone, Detective Piers obtained Plaintiff Robinson's passcode for his phone from Mr. Robinson, from which, Detective Piers then invaded Plaintiff Robinson's privacy rights by searching his phone with no legitimate purpose.
- 32. It wasn't until 7:30 a.m. when Plaintiff Robinson was finally released and he had to sign papers to get his phone back.
- 33. One officer informed him that he was detained because he hit Detective Piers, yet, no charges were ever made against Plaintiff Robinson.
- 34. When Plaintiff Robinson was released, his attacker, Detective Piers, was long gone, as his shift ended earlier and he had no regard or compassion to release the grieving uncle, Plaintiff Robinson, who was unconstitutionally beaten, seized and imprisoned, among other things.

- 35. Leaving Plaintiff Robinson, a human being, in a cage unjustifiably for hours wasn't even an afterthought for Detective Piers.
- 36. As a result of Detective Piers' assault on Plaintiff Robinson, Plaintiff Robinson suffered a closed head injury, back pain, neck pain, and headaches.
- 37. To this day, Plaintiff suffers the effects of the Defendants' abhorrent behavior, both mentally and physically.

WHEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing, Plaintiff, Freddie Robinson, demands judgment in his favor and against Defendants, jointly and severally, for an amount in excess of seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00), plus punitive damages, the statutory rate of interest, attorneys' fees, costs and related expenses, and such other just and equitable relief as this Honorable Court deems proper.

COUNT I VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS PLAINTIFF, FREDDIE ROBINSON V. ALL DEFENDANTS

- 38. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 39. The conduct of Defendants as set forth above, acting under color of state law, was intended to harm Plaintiff, Freddie Robinson, and/or was recklessly and deliberately indifferent to the safety, bodily integrity, well-being, privacy and liberty of Plaintiff, and was committed in conscious disregard of the substantial and/or unjustifiable risk of causing harm to members of the public and to Plaintiff, and was so egregious as to shock the conscience.
 - 40. The conduct of Defendants as set forth above violated Plaintiff's constitutional

rights to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures, right to be free from arrest without probable cause, right to be free from stops absent a reasonable suspicion that he has committed a crime, rights to bodily integrity, rights to care in custody, right to be free from excessive force, rights to be free from cruel and unusual punishment, rights to privacy, and to substantive and procedural due process, as guaranteed by the Fourth, Fifth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and as remediable pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983.

- 41. Defendants' conduct of engaging in a cover up of their illegal detainment and illegal use of force as set forth above violated Plaintiff's constitutional right of access to courts provided by the privileges and immunities clauses of Article 4 and the Fourteenth Amendment of the Constitution, the right to petition for redress of grievances found in the First Amendment, and the Due Process Clause.
- 42. The actions of Defendants, as described above, were undertaken in bad faith and with a deliberate indifference to and callous disregard of Plaintiff's constitutional rights in that the Defendants, among other things,:
 - a) physically assaulted, mercilessly beat and detained Plaintiff when it was clear to any reasonable detective that there was no probable cause or reasonable suspicion for detainment and seizure;
 - b) assaulted Plaintiff and took him into custody when there was absolutely no trustworthy or fair probability that he had committed a crime;
 - c) conspired in callous disregard for the safety of the Plaintiff to cover up the assault by fabricating a story that Plaintiff assaulted Detective Piers and

thereby deprived Plaintiff of his constitutional rights, including access to the courts;

- d) violated Plaintiffs substantive due process and other constitutional rights;
- e) intentionally veiling and failing to report the incident in its entirety;
- f) intentionally and recklessly exposing Plaintiff to a state created danger;
- g) intentionally and recklessly failing to memorialize and/or to provide truthful and accurate information on incident reports in accordance with the State laws and administrative regulations;
- h) stopping and/or assaulting Plaintiff without a reasonable suspicion that he has or was about to commit a crime;
- i) exhibiting a willful disregard for the safety of Plaintiff by use of excessive force;
- j) using potentially deadly force of Plaintiff in the nature of a blow to his head;
- k) participated in the violent beating of an innocent bystander;
- l) conspired to conceal the happening of the assault from Plaintiff and from the proper authorities;
- m) used excessive and unnecessary force to apprehend Plaintiff;
- n) failed to complete and/or provide truthful and accurate information on use of force forms or an arrest report;
- o) failed to notify Internal Affairs of the assault and unlawful detention;
- p) destroyed and/or failed to preserve evidence; and
- q) lied about how Plaintiff sustained his injuries.

- 43. As a direct result of Defendants' actions and/or failure to act, Plaintiff has suffered injuries which include, but are not limited to, the following: injuries to the head, neck, back, multiple contusions; fear and anxiety; anxiety attacks; sleeplessness; severe emotional distress; emotional pain and suffering; as well as other permanent physical and psychological disorders that will continue to have an adverse impact on him for the foreseeable future including other ailments that Plaintiff's treating health care providers may diagnose.
- 44. As a direct result of Defendants' actions and/or failure to act, Plaintiff has been or will be required to receive and undergo medical attention and care and to expend various sums of money and to incur various expenses and may be required to continue to expend such sums or incur such expenditures for an indefinite time in the future.
- 45. As a direct result of Defendants' actions and/or failure to act, Plaintiff has or may suffer a severe loss of earnings and impairment of earning power and capacity.
- 46. As a direct result of Defendants' actions and/or failure to act, Plaintiff has suffered medically determinable physical and/or mental impairment which prevents the Plaintiff from performing all or substantially all of the material acts and duties which constituted the Plaintiff's usual and customary activities prior to the accident.
- 47. As a direct result of Defendants' actions and/or failure to act, Plaintiff has or may hereafter incur other financial expenses which do or may exceed amounts which Plaintiff may otherwise be entitled to recover.
- 48. As a direct result of Defendants' actions and/or failure to act, Plaintiff has suffered severe physical pain, mental anguish and humiliation, and may continue to suffer from

same for an indefinite time in the future.

49. As a direct result of Defendants' actions and/or failure to act, Plaintiff has suffered severe fear, anxiety, intentionally and negligently inflicted emotional distress, depression and feelings of helplessness.

WHEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing, Plaintiff, Freddie Robinson, demands judgment in his favor and against Defendants, jointly and severally, for an amount in excess of seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00), plus punitive damages, the statutory rate of interest, attorneys' fees, costs and related expenses, and such other just and equitable relief as this Honorable Court deems proper.

COUNT II NEGLIGENCE

PLAINTIFF, FREDDIE ROBINSON V. DEFENDANT, THE CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, PHILADELPHIA POLICE DEPARTMENT

- 50. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 51. The Defendant Detective Piers engaged in willful misconduct in that he desired to bring about the result that followed or at least were aware that it was substantially certain to follow, so that such desire can be implied.
- 52. Pursuant to 42 Pa.C.S. § 8550, the willful misconduct of the Defendant Police Detectives serves to waive any immunity or limitation on damages that the municipal defendants would have otherwise enjoyed under the Political Subdivision Tort Claims Act.
 - 53. The negligence, carelessness and recklessness of Defendant include, *inter alia*, the

following:

- a) failing to properly train its detectives on the appropriate use of force;
- b) failure to properly train its detectives on the need to establish probable cause prior to arrest and reasonable suspicion for detainment;
- c) failure to properly supervise the detective that assaulted and detained Plaintiff;
- d) failure to draft, implement and enforce policies that would serve to eliminate the use of excessive force, unlawful seizures and false imprisonment;
- e) failure to draft, implement and enforce policies that would serve to prevent the falsification of reports by its detectives;
- f) failure to comply with police standards;
- g) failure to adequately train its workforce; and
- h) is otherwise negligent in law and in fact.
- 54. As a direct result of Defendants' actions and/or failure to act, Plaintiff has suffered injuries which include, but are not limited to, the following: injuries to the head, neck, back, multiple contusions; fear and anxiety; anxiety attacks; sleeplessness; severe emotional distress; emotional pain and suffering; as well as other permanent physical and psychological disorders that will continue to have an adverse impact on him for the foreseeable future including other ailments that Plaintiff's treating health care providers may diagnose.
- 55. As a direct result of Defendants' actions and/or failure to act, Plaintiff has been or will be required to receive and undergo medical attention and care and to expend various sums of money and to incur various expenses and may be required to continue to expend such sums or

incur such expenditures for an indefinite time in the future.

- 56. As a direct result of Defendants' actions and/or failure to act, Plaintiff has or may suffer a severe loss of earnings and impairment of earning power and capacity.
- 57. As a direct result of Defendants' actions and/or failure to act, Plaintiff has suffered medically determinable physical and/or mental impairment which prevents the Plaintiff from performing all or substantially all of the material acts and duties which constituted the Plaintiff's usual and customary activities prior to the accident.
- 58. As a direct result of Defendants' actions and/or failure to act, Plaintiff has or may hereafter incur other financial expenses which do or may exceed amounts which Plaintiff may otherwise be entitled to recover.
- 59. As a direct result of Defendants' actions and/or failure to act, Plaintiff has suffered severe physical pain, mental anguish and humiliation, and may continue to suffer from same for an indefinite time in the future.
- 60. As a direct result of Defendants' actions and/or failure to act, Plaintiff has suffered severe fear, anxiety, intentionally and negligently inflicted emotional distress, depression and feelings of helplessness.

WHEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing, Plaintiff, Freddie Robinson, demands judgment in his favor and against Defendants, jointly and severally, for an amount in excess of seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00), plus punitive damages, the statutory rate of interest, attorneys' fees, costs and related expenses, and such other just and equitable relief as this Honorable Court deems proper.

COUNT III ASSAULT, BATTERY AND FALSE IMPRISONMENT PLAINTIFF, FREDDIE ROBISNSON V. DEFENDANT DETECTIVE PIERS

- 61. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 62. The Defendant Detective Piers engaged in intentional and willful misconduct in that they desired to bring about the result that followed or at least were aware that it was substantially certain to follow, so that such desire can be implied.
- 63. Pursuant to 42 Pa.C.S. § 8550, the intentional and willful misconduct of the Defendant Detective Piers serves to waive any immunity or limitation on damages that the municipal defendants would have otherwise enjoyed under the Political Subdivision Tort Claims Act.
- 64. Plaintiff hereby alleges that the aforementioned intentional and willful actions by the Defendant Detective Piers constituted the torts of assault and battery.
- Detective Piers and any periods of confinement directly resulting from the improper detainment in question constitute the false imprisonment of Plaintiff in that Defendant Detective Piers intended to confine the Plaintiff within boundaries fixed by said Defendants; Defendant Detective Piers' acts directly or indirectly resulted in such confinement; and Plaintiff was conscious of the confinement and was harmed thereby.
 - 66. As a direct result of Defendant's actions and/or failure to act, Plaintiff has

suffered injuries which include, but are not limited to, the following: injuries to the head, neck, back, multiple contusions; fear and anxiety; anxiety attacks; sleeplessness; severe emotional distress; emotional pain and suffering; as well as other permanent physical and psychological disorders that will continue to have an adverse impact on him for the foreseeable future including other ailments that Plaintiff's treating health care providers may diagnose.

- 67. As a direct result of Defendant's actions and/or failure to act, Plaintiff has been or will be required to receive and undergo medical attention and care and to expend various sums of money and to incur various expenses and may be required to continue to expend such sums or incur such expenditures for an indefinite time in the future.
- 68. As a direct result of Defendant's actions and/or failure to act, Plaintiff has or may suffer a severe loss of earnings and impairment of earning power and capacity.
- 69. As a direct result of Defendant's actions and/or failure to act, Plaintiff has suffered medically determinable physical and/or mental impairment which prevents the Plaintiff from performing all or substantially all of the material acts and duties which constituted the Plaintiff's usual and customary activities prior to the accident.
- 70. As a direct result of Defendant's actions and/or failure to act, Plaintiff has or may hereafter incur other financial expenses which do or may exceed amounts which Plaintiff may otherwise be entitled to recover.
- 71. As a direct result of Defendants' actions and/or failure to act, Plaintiff has suffered severe physical pain, mental anguish and humiliation, and may continue to suffer from same for an indefinite time in the future.

72. As a direct result of Defendant's actions and/or failure to act, Plaintiff has suffered severe fear, anxiety, intentionally and negligently inflicted emotional distress, depression and feelings of helplessness.

WHEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing, Plaintiff, Freddie Robinson, demands judgment in his favor and against Defendants, jointly and severally, for an amount in excess of seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00), plus punitive damages, the statutory rate of interest, attorneys' fees, costs and related expenses, and such other just and equitable relief as this Honorable Court deems proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury as to each count and each Defendant.

VILLARILLENTZ & LYNAM, LLC

Thomas A. Lynam, III, Esquire I.D. No. 83817 1600 Market Street, Suite 1800

Philadelphia, PA 19103

215-568-1990

Attorney for Plaintiff